

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. 11/16/2001 7871 09/998,575 Johann Eibl A34720-PCT-USA-A EXAMINER 7590 03/12/2004 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. WEBER, JON P 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEW YORK, NY 10112 1651

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/998,575	EIBL, JOHANN Art Unit
	Examiner	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Jon P Weber, Ph.D.	the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the privisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te. cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.	January 2004.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-130</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-98 and 105-130 is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 99-104</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/l	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/16/01,6/10/00.	T	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1651

Status of the Claims

Claims 1-107 have been presented for examination.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-104, and the species A) structural proteins, and (B) allogenic collagens as the structural proteins with traverse in the Paper filed 02 January 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 105-107 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election of the Group was made without traverse. It is suggested that the non-elected claims be canceled in response to this Office action to expedite prosecution.

In traversing the species election it is argued that the species are additional components not required for claim 1, and as such, applicants should not be limited in examination of additional components.

The additional components are active ingredients that would materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed invention. Accordingly, it is proper to consider that searching each and every one of the added active ingredients could entail burden. Burden is established because each of these possible ingredients requires a separate search inasmuch as the different active ingredients are not classified together or recognized in the art as being coextensive. It is noted that examiner could have, but did not, require a species election on claim 1 element (iv) "serpins" although there are at least 219 such polypeptides known in the art (Irving et al., 2000) having a wide range of names and diverse structures and properties.

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 105-107 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made without traverse in the Paper filed 02 January 2004.

Claims 3-98 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim (*vide infra*). Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the Paper filed 02 January 2004.

Claims 1-2 and 99-104 remain to be examined on the merits

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-2 and 99-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites that the active agents "may be obtained" which is vague and indefinite because it is not clear if the group of sources is a required claim element or only a suggestion of possible sources that may also include essentially any other source.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 1-2 and 99-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wadström et al. (US 5,631,011) and Redl et al. (CA 2302224) and Edwardson et al. (US 5,739,288).

Wadström et al. (US 5,631,011) disclose using fibrin or fibrinogen in a sealant comprising thrombin, transglutaminase (factor XIII), and fibrinolysis inhibitors such as α1-antitrypsin, PAI-1 or PAI-2, instantly preferred serpins lacking elastase or collagenase activity (column 4, lines 46-65) in combination with additional biofibers such as collagen (column 5, line 61). Wadström et al. (US 5,631,011) lacks autologous sources for the active ingredients or collagen.

Redl et al. (CA 2302224) disclose a fibrinogen-based tissue adhesive/sealant comprising fibrinogen, thrombin (added just before use, Example 1), serum transglutaminase (Factor XIII) and a fibrinolysis inhibitor. The preferred fibrinolysis inhibitor is an elastase inhibitor. The adhesive/sealant may comprise many other added active ingredients including but not limited to collagen (page 12). Any source of the active ingredients is acceptable. Redl et al. (CA 2302224) lacks serpins lacking elastase or collagenase activity as the fibrinolytic inhibitor, autologous sources for the active ingredients or collagen, or fibrin as the other sealant agent.

Edwardson et al. (US 5,739,288) disclose the use of non-crosslinked fibrin in a fibrin-based adhesive/sealant. The blood used to make the fibrin sealant may be autologous (column 5, lines 7-8). It is also reported that it is known in the art to add fibrinolytic inhibitors such as PAI-1 or PAI-2 (the instantly most preferred serpins) to the fibrin sealant (column 23, lines 14-15).

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to substitute autologous sources for the active components of the fibrin

Art Unit: 1651

adhesive/sealant of Wadström et al. (US 5,631,011) and Redl et al. (CA 2302224) because Edwardson et al. (US 5,739,288) disclose them to be functionally equivalent in a fibrin adhesive or sealant save the well-known advantage of eliminating an immunological reaction. Wadström et al. (US 5,631,011) and Edwardson et al. (US 5,739,288) both disclose that the fibrinolytic inhibitors are readily selected from a small group that highlights the most preferred serpins of the instant application.

Hence, it would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use autologous active ingredients, fibrin or fibrinogen, or serpins lacking collagenase or elastase activity in a fibrin-based adhesive/sealant.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon P Weber, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0925. The examiner can normally be reached on daily, off 1st Fri, 9/5/4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (tell-free).

Jon P Weber, Ph.D. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1651

JPW

9 March 2004